

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/050,292	03/30/1998	HYANG YUL KIM	317-127	6647	
20413 7	590 10/14/2003		EXAMINER		
FRANCIS J MAGUIRE			DUDEK, JAMES A		
BRADFORD (755 MAIN ST	GREEN BUILDING FIVE REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			2871 DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

		- 100 C
Application No.	Applicant(s)	78,
09/050,292	KIM ET AL.	
Examin r	Art Unit	1
James A. Dudek	2871	

		James A. Dudek	2871	
Th MAILING DATE of this com	munication appe	ars on the cover she t with th	correspondenc add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may o condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	is required to a only be either: (1 Notice of Appea) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper re nich places the appli	ication in
<u>P</u> I	ERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months fro	-	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the man event, however, will the statutory period for ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE F 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officerarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	r reply expire later that IRST REPLY WAS FR 1.136(a). The dat g the period of extens date of the shortened that three mo	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. .136(a) and the appropriate expressions. The appropriate expressions of the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ktension fee under r (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on			•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will r	•	. ,,	or mo appoun	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that wor			(see NOTE helow):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new ma			(000 110 12 551511),	
(c) they are not deemed to place issues for appeal; and/or	•	• •	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims NOTE:	s without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	e following rejec	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim canceling the non-allowable claim 		be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or application in condition for allowar			sidered but does N	OT place the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be raised by the Examiner in the final		ause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or am 				l and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or wil	l be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	ation:			
8. The proposed drawing correction fi	-	a) approved or b) disag	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disc				
10.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	James A. Dudek	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant newly presented arguments are not persausive. The liquid crystal layer of Nagata is formed from one liquid crystal material, althought there may be an additive it is not a LC additive.